



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,064	11/17/2003	Anand Pande	15156US01	7036

7590 02/22/2007
CHRISTOPHER C. WINSLADE
MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON ST.
34TH FLOOR
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

TSAL, SHENG JEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2186

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,064	PANDE, ANAND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheng-Jen Tsai	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicant's Remarks filed on February 7, 2007 regarding application 10,715,064 filed on November 17, 2003.

2. Claims 1-6 have been cancelled previously.

Claims 7-11 are pending for consideration.

3. ***Response to Remarks***

Applicants' amendments and remarks have been fully and carefully considered. In response, a new ground of claim analysis based on the previously cited reference Kao et al. (US 6,263,410) has been made. Refer to the corresponding sections of the following claim analysis for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kao et al. (US 6,263,410).

As to claim 7, Kao et al. disclose **a circuit for storing data** [figures 1-9 show the details of the circuit], **said circuit comprising:**

a FIFO for queuing the data [dual port RAM FIFO, figure 3, 301; Apparatus and Method for Asynchronous Dual Port FIFO (title); An apparatus and method for controlling an asynchronous dual port FIFO memory is provided (abstract)];

a read pointer for indicating a particular address in the FIFO [Read Pointer, figure 3, 305 and figure 9, right-hand side];

a write pointer for indicating another particular address in the FIFO [Write Pointer, figure 3, 304 and figure 9, left-hand side];

a first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address

indicated by the read pointer [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code];

a second Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address

indicated by the write pointer [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code]; and

a comparator for determining whether the FIFO is empty or full based on a comparison of a Gray code associated with the read pointer and a Gray code associated with the write pointer [figures 3, 7 and 9].

Regarding claim 7, Kao et al. disclose **a first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the read pointer [figure 9] in one embodiment and a second Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the write pointer [figure 3] in another embodiment, but not in the same embodiment.**

However, Kao et al. explicitly point out that the embodiment illustrated in figure 3, which shows **a Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular**

address indicated by the write pointer, provides an EMPTY and a ALMOST FULL indicators [see figure 3]. Kao et al. further teach that the ALMOST FULL indicator is only an approximation, and in order to have a precise and accurate FULL indicator the embodiment shown in figure 9 has to be used [column 6, lines 64-67 and column 7, lines 1-20]. Thus, in order to have a precise and accurate indicator for both EMPTY and FULL status, both embodiments are needed.

A precise and accurate indicator for both EMPTY and FULL status allows precise and accurate indication of the current usage level of the FIFO device [figure 3, 301 and figure 9], which is critical for preventing overflow and /or underflow of the FIFO [column 1, lines 31-36].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to recognize that in order to have a precise and accurate indicator for both EMPTY and FULL status, both embodiments illustrated in figures 3 and 9 are needed, which would lead to a **first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the read pointer** [figure 9] and a **second Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the write pointer**.

As to claim 8, Kao et al. teach that a **first Gray code generator for generating the Gray code associated with the read pointer** [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer (which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code]; and

a second Gray code generator for generating the Gray code associated with the write pointer [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304, which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code is in a binary code].

As to claim 9, Kao et al. teach that **a first Gray code to binary converter for generating the particular address indicated by the read pointer** [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code is in a binary code]; **and**

a second Gray code to binary converter for generating the another particular address indicated by the write pointer [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304, which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code is in a binary code];

wherein the first Gray code to binary converter receives the Gray code associated with the read pointer from the first Gray code generator [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code is in a binary code]; **and**

wherein the second Gray code to binary converter receives the Gray code associated with the write pointer from the second Gray code generator [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304, which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray

Art Unit: 2186

Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code].

As to claim 10, Kao et al. teach that **the FIFO comprises a FIFO RAM** [dual port RAM FIFO, figure 3, 301; Apparatus and Method for Asynchronous Dual Port FIFO (title); An apparatus and method for controlling an asynchronous dual port FIFO memory is provided (abstract)].

As to claim 11, Kao et al. teach that **a method for storing data** [figures 1-9 show the details of the circuit], **said method comprising:**

queuing the data in a FIFO [dual port RAM FIFO, figure 3, 301; Apparatus and Method for Asynchronous Dual Port FIFO (title); An apparatus and method for controlling an asynchronous dual port FIFO memory is provided (abstract)];

indicating a particular read address in the FIFO [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code];

indicating a particular write address in the FIFO [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304, which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code];

generating the particular read address by converting a first Gray code to binary [figure 9 shows that the output of the Read Pointer is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential

Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code];

generating the particular write address by converting a second Gray code to binary [figure 3 shows that the output of the Write Pointer (304, which is Gray coded) is fed to a Gray Code to Sequential Converter to generate the address to access the dual port RAM FIFO; note that the sequential code in a binary code]; **and**
determining whether the FIFO is empty or full based on a comparison of the first Gray code associated and the second Gray code [figures 2, 3, 7 and 9].

6. ***Related Prior Art Of Record***

The following list of prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but not relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

- Hsu et al., (US 6,845,414), "Apparatus and Method of Asynchronous FIFO Control."
- Camilleri et al., (US 6,434,642), "FIFO Memory System and Method with Improved Determination of Full and Empty Conditions and Amount of Data Stored."
- Shyi et al., (US 5,426,756), "Memory Controller and Method Determining Empty/Full Status of a FIFO Memory Using Gray Code Counters."
- Brooks et al., (US 5,410,664), "RAM Addressing Apparatus with Lower Power Consumption and Less Noise Generation."
- Cohn et al., (US 4,556,960), "Address Sequencer for Overwrite Avoidance."

- Jiang, (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0207547), "Method of Scalable Gray Coding."
- Pontius, (US 6,337,893), "Non-Power-Of-Two Gray-Code Counter System Having Binary Incrementer with Counts Distributed with Bilateral Symmetry."
- Yi, (US 6,703,950), "Gray Code Sequences."


Conclusion

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected as explained above.
9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheng-Jen Tsai
Examiner
Art Unit 2186


PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
2/16/07